

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,758	09/03/2003	Richard Wynn II	268-02	3677
2746 7	590 09/27/2004	EXAMINER		INER
WILLIAM H. EILBERG THREE BALA PLAZA SUITE 501 WEST			BUSHEY, CHARLES S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Scott Bushey 1724 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
Office Action Summary Examiner Scott Bushey 1724 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
Scott Bushey 1724 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-3-03</u> . 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/653,758

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fetters et al (Figs. 1 and 2; page 1, lines 42-47).

Applicant should note that the peripheral portion of the baffle (16) adjacent the outlet openings (17) will serve to reduce noise from the exhaust outlets and thus such is considered to anticipate the silencer baffle structure located below the outlet openings, as recited by instant claim 11.

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 8-10, 12-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Butz (Figs 1 and 2; col. 2, lines 59-72; col. 3, lines 14-15).

Applicant should note that tubes (6,7) inherently perform wave break action below the perforated plate, while the upstanding wall of channels (9) inherently perform wave break action above the perforated plate (5) and thus the wave break structures as required by instant claims 8, 9, and 13-15 are considered to be anticipated by the disclosure of Butz.

4. Claims 12, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Choi et al (Figs. 2-4b; col. 5, lines 23-51).

Application/Control Number: 10/653,758 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butz taken together with the brochure as submitted by applicant, entitled "WetAer Wave Wet Dust Collectors.

Butz as applied above substantially discloses applicant's invention as recited by instant claim 16, except for the silencer baffle located below the outlet opening.

The brochure as submitted by applicant, entitled "WetAer Wave Wet Dust Collectors illustrates an exhaust outlet baffle, which serves to control the outlet flow volume and controls the noise levels associated therewith. Wherein government regulatory requirements mandate noise reduction means for high volume gas flow exhausts to limit noise pollution, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention, to modify the outlet of Butz to include a silencer baffle in association therewith, in view of the secondary reference teaching, since such would insure compliance of the apparatus and operation thereof with all federal noise abatement regulations.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,758

Art Unit: 1724

Page 4

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is (571) 272-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

csb 9-23-04

9-23-04